## 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 3 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 4 IN RE CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT) Master File No. 3:07-cv-5944 JST 5 ANTITRUST LITIGATION MDL No. 1917 6 [PROPOSED] AMENDMENT TO ORDER 7 APPOINTING SPECIAL MASTER 8 (D.E. 4077) 9 Judge: Hon. Jon S. Tigar This Document Relates To: 10 All Indirect Purchaser Actions Special Master: Martin Quinn. 11 12 13 Currently pending before the Court is OBJECTOR DOUGLAS W. ST. JOHN'S MOTION 14 TO AMEND THE ORDER APPOINTING SPECIAL MASTER QUINN. Having reviewed the 15 motion and IPP Counsel's opposition thereto, the Court finds that good cause exists to amend the 16 Order Appointing Special Master Quinn (D.E. 4077) (hereinafter, "Appointment Order"). 17 Accordingly, the Appointment Order is amended as follows: 18 (1) The last sentence of Section 7 ("In connection with objections, and discovery matters, 19 the parties shall each pay an equal share of the cost.") is STRICKEN. Section 7 is amended to read 20 as follows: 21 Pursuant to Rule 53(g), the Special Master shall be compensated at an hourly rate of \$700.00 for his services as Special Master in the MDL 22 proceedings, plus the Administrative Fee charged by JAMS, and shall be reimbursed for any out-of-pocket expenses (e.g. cost of 23 accountants, auditors and clerical assistants, and expenses for telephone conference calls). The Special Master shall not charge for 24 travel time. The Special Master shall prepare a monthly invoice for his services, which he shall provide to Lead Counsel for the Indirect 25 Purchaser Plaintiffs (or such other counsel as the Court should in the 26 future direct) to be paid from the Litigation Fund. **Indirect** Purchaser Plaintiffs' Counsel shall not seek reimbursement for any contributions to the Litigation Fund that are expended in 2.7 connection with requests for expenses and attorneys' fees. 28

## Case 4:07-cv-05944-JST Document 4203-11 Filed 11/20/15 Page 2 of 2

| 1      | (2) In the first sentence of Section 5(c), the words "for clear error" are STRICKEN. Section  |
|--------|---|
| 2      | 5(c) is amended to read as follows:   |
| 3      |   |
| 4      | Pursuant to Rule 53(f)(3)-(5), the Court shall review findings of fact made or recommended by the Special Master <u>de novo</u> . The Court shall review de novo any conclusions of law made or recommended |
| 5      | by the Special Master. The Court will set aside the Special Master's ruling on a procedural matter only for an abuse of discretion.   |
| 6<br>7 | (3) To mitigate prejudice to objectors, the Court will consult with the Special Master  |
| 8      | regarding an appropriate amendment to the Order Establishing Schedule (D.E. 4185). The amended  |
| 9      | scheduling order shall be set forth in a separate order, and shall include a deadline for objectors to  |
|        | submit proposed discovery, a five calendar day response period for IPP Counsel, a target date for   |
| 10     | the Special Master to rule on any requests for discovery, and a deadline for IPP Counsel to produce   |
| 11     | any discovery that is granted. Objectors shall have a reasonable period of time to incorporate the  |
| 12     | results of discovery into their briefing.   |
| 13     |   |
| 14     | IT IS SO ORDERED.   |
| 15     |   |
| 16     | Dated: November, 2015   |
| 17     |   |
| 18     |   |
| 19     | Jon S. Tigar, United States District Judge  |
| 20     | John S. Figar, Officer States District Judge  |
| 21     |   |
| 22     |   |
| 23     |   |
| 24     |   |
| 25     |   |
| 26     |   |
| 27     |   |
| 28     |   |
| - ~    | IPROPOSEDI AMENDMENT TO ORDER APPOINTING SPECIAL MASTER (D.E. 4077)   |